

THE UNIVERD SHATES OF AMERICA

To all to vion these presents shall come: Hioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.

There has been presented to the

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED DISTINCT VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED, OR TUBER PROPAGATED PLANT. THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF TWENTY YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC SEED OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE GHT TO EXCLUDE OTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, OR ORTING IT, OR EXPORTING IT, OR CONDITIONING IT FOR PROPAGATION, OR STOCKING IT FOR ANY OF THE E PURPOSES, OR USING IT IN PRODUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT VARIETY THEREFROM, TO THE EXTENT DO BY THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT. (84 STAT. 1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

CORN, FIELD

'PH5WA'

In Testimony Thereof, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Flant Harrety Frotestion Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D.C. this third day of November, in the year two thousand and six.

Attost:

Om Ze

Commissioner Plant Variety Protection Office Agricultural Marketing Service

S Degriculture

VSTRUCTIONS

3ENERAL: To be effectively filed with the Plant Variety Protection Office (PVPO), **ALL** of the following items must be **received** in the PVPO: (1) Completed ipplication form signed by the owner; (2) completed exhibits A, B, C, E; (3) for a seed reproduced variety at least 2,500 viable untreated seeds, for a hybrid rariety at least 2,500 untreated seeds of each line necessary to **reproduce** the variety, or for tuber reproduced varieties verification that a viable (in the sense that i vill reproduce an entire plant) tissue culture will be deposited and maintained in an approved public repository; (4) check drawn on a U.S. bank for \$3,652 (\$432 illing fee and \$3,220 examination fee), payable to "Treasurer of the United States" (See Section 97.6 of the Regulations and Rules of Practice.) Partial applications vill be held in the PVPO for not more than 90 days, then returned to the applicant as unfiled. Mail application and other requirements to Plant Variety Protection. Office, AMS, USDA, Room 401, NAL Building, 10301 Baltimore Avenue, Beltsville, MD 20705-2351. Retain one copy for your files. All items on the face of the upplication are self explanatory unless noted below. Corrections on the application form and exhibits must be initialed and dated. **DO NOT** use masking materials o make corrections. If a certificate is allowed, you will be requested to send a check payable to "Treasurer of the United States" in the amount of \$432 for ssuance of the certificates will be issued to owner, not licensee or agent.

Plant Variety Protection Office Telephone: (301) 504-5518 FAX: (301) 504-5291

Homepage: http://www.ams.usda.gov/science/pypo/pvp.htm

To avoid conflict with other variety names in use, the applicant must check the appropriate recognized authority and provide evidence that name has been cleared by the appropriate recognized authority before the Certificate of Protection is issued. For example, for agricultural and vegetable crops, contact: Seed Branch, AMS, USDA, 10301 Baltimore Avenue, Suite 401 NAL Building, Beltsville, MD 20705. Telephone: (301) 504-5682 http://www.ams.usda.gov/lsg/seed.htm.

TEM

9a. Give.

- (1) the genealogy, including public and commercial varieties, lines, or clones used, and the breeding method;
- (2) the details of subsequent stages of selection and multiplication;
- (3) evidence of uniformity and stability; and
- (4) the type and frequency of variants during reproduction and multiplication and state how these variants may be identified
- 9b. Give a summary of the variety's distinctness. Clearly state how this application variety may be distinguished from all other varieties in the same crop. If the new variety is most similar to one variety or a group of related varieties:
 - (1) identify these varieties and state all differences objectively;
 - (2) attach statistical data for characters expressed numerically and demonstrate that these are clear differences; and
 - (3) submit, if helpful, seed and plant specimens or photographs (prints) of seed and plant comparisons which clearly indicate distinctness.
- 9c. Exhibit C forms are available from the PVPO Office for most crops; specify crop kind. Fill in Exhibit C (Objective Description of Variety) form as completely as possible to describe your variety.
- 9d. Optional additional characteristics and/or photographs. Describe any additional characteristics that cannot be accurately conveyed in Exhibit C. Use comparative varieties as is necessary to reveal more accurately the characteristics that are difficult to describe, such as plant habit, plant color, disease resistance. etc.
- 9e. Section 52(5) of the Act requires applicants to furnish a statement of the basis of the applicant's ownership. An Exhibit E form is available from the PVPO.
- 10. If "Yes" is specified (seed of this variety be sold by variety name only, as a class of certified seed), the applicant MAY NOT reverse this affirmative decision after the variety has been sold and so labeled, the decision published, or the certificate issued. However, if "No" has been specified, the applicant may change the choice. (See Regulations and Rules of Practice, Section 97.103).
- 13. See Sections 41, 42, and 43 of the Act and Section 97.5 of the regulations for eligibility requirements.
- 24. See Section 55 of the Act for instructions on claiming the benefit of an earlier filing date.
- 12. CONTINUED FROM FRONT (Please provide a statement as to the limitation and sequence of generations that may be certified.)
- 13. CONTINUED FROM FRONT (Please provide the date of first sale, disposition, transfer, or use for each country and the circumstances, if the variety including any harvested material) or a hybrid produced from this variety has been sold, disposed of, transferred, or used in the U.S. or other countries.)

Jnited States; Nov. 1, 2003

24. CONTINUED FROM FRONT (Please give the country, date of filing or issuance, and assigned reference number, if the variety or any component of the variety is protected by intellectual property right (Plant Breeder's Right or Patent).)

IOTES: It is the responsibility of the applicant/owner to keep the PVPO informed of any changes of address or change of ownership or assignment or owner's epresentative during the life of the application/certificate. The fees for filing a change of address; owner's representative; ownership or assignment; or any nodification of owner's name is specified in Section 97.175 of the regulations. (See Section 101 of the Act, and Sections 97.130, 97.131, 97.175(h) of the Regulations and Rules of Practice.)

coording to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid DMB control number for this information collection is estimated to average 1.4 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, earching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, disability, sexual orientation, marital or family status, clitical beliefs, parental status, or protected genetic information. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information Braille, large print, audictape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at 202-720-2600 (voice and TDD).

o file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Whitten Building, 14th and Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call 202-720-5964 (voice and DD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Exhibit A. Origin and Breeding History

Pedigree: PHHB4/PHFT4)X842132112X

Pioneer Line PH5WA, Zea mays L., a yellow endosperm corn inbred, was developed by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. from the single cross hybrid PHHB4 (Certificate No. 9400093) X PHFT4 using the pedigree method of plant breeding. Varieties PHHB4 and PHFT4 are proprietary inbred lines of Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. Variety PHFT4 was derived by pedigree selection from the single cross hybrid PHG86 (Certificate No. 8700170) X PHW52 (Certificate No. 8800215). Selfing was practiced from the above hybrid for 11 generations using pedigree selection. During line development, crosses were made to inbred testers for the purpose of estimating the line's combining ability. Yield trials were grown at Macomb, Illinois as well as other Pioneer research locations. After initial testing, additional hybrid combinations have been evaluated and subsequent generations of the line have been grown and hand-pollinated with observations again made for uniformity.

Variety PH5WA has shown uniformity and stability for all traits as described in Exhibit C - "Objective Description of Variety". It has been self-pollinated and ear-rowed 9 generations with careful attention paid to selection criteria and uniformity of plant type to assure genetic homozygousity and phenotypic stability. The line has been increased both by hand and in isolated fields with continued observations for uniformity and stability, and for 9 generations during the final stages of inbred development and seed multiplication. Very high standards for genetic purity have been established morphologically using field observations and electrophoretically using sound lab molecular marker methodology.

No variant traits have been observed or are expected in PH5WA.

The criteria used in the selection of PH5WA were yield, both per se and in hybrid combinations; late season plant health, grain quality, stalk lodging resistance, and kernel size, especially important in production. Other selection criteria include: ability to germinate in adverse conditions; disease and insect resistance.

Exhibit A: Developmental history for PH5WA

Season/Year Pedigree Grown	Inbreeding Level of Pedigree Grown
PHHB4	F0
Summer 1992	
PHFT4	F0
Summer 1992	
PHHB4/PHFT4	F1
Winter 1992	
PHHB4/PHFT4)X	F2
Summer 1993	
PHHB4/PHFT4)X8	F3
Summer 1994	
PHHB4/PHFT4)X84	F4
Winter 1994	
PHHB4/PHFT4)X842	F 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Summer 1995	
PHHB4/PHFT4)X8421	F6
Winter 1996	
PHHB4/PHFT4)X84213	F7
Summer 1997	
PHHB4/PHFT4)X842132	F8
Winter 1997	
PHHB4/PHFT4)X8421321	F9
Summer 1998	
PHHB4/PHFT4)X84213211	F10
Winter 1998	
PHHB4/PHFT4)X842132112	F11
Summer 1999	
PHHB4/PHFT4)X842132112X	F12
	SEED

^{*}PH5WA was selfed and ear-rowed from F3 through F11 generation.

#Uniformity and stability were established from F5 through F11 generation and beyond when seed supplies were increased.

Exhibit B: Novelty Statement

Variety PH5WA mostly resembles Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. proprietary inbred line PH3RC (PVP Certificate No. 200200257). Tables 1A and 1B show two sample t-tests on data collected primarily in Ankeny, Johnston, and Dallas Center, IA. The traits collectively show measurable differences between the two varieties.

Exhibit B: Novelty Statement

Variety PH5WA has a greater kernel thickness (5.2 mm vs 4.7 mm) than variety PH3RC (Table 1A, 1B).

Variety PH5WA has a greater tassel axis floret density (16.7 vs 14.8) than variety PH3RC (Table 1A, 1B).

We have run electrophoretic profiles of isozymes for PH5WA and PH3RC and their parents on the same gel to gather further evidence for distinction. A good reference for the starch gel protocol is: Cardy, BJ, Stuber CW, Goodman MM. 1980. Techniques for Starch Gel Electrophoresis of Enzymes from Maize (Zea mays L.). Institute of Statistics Mimeograph Series No. 1317. North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina.

Varieties PH5WA and PH3RC differ for the isozyme *phi1* (a public marker) at locus *phi1*. The difference indicates that PH5WA received an allele from 1 parent at this locus and PH3RC received an allele from the other parent. Under the PVP statutes for distinctness Chapter 4, Sec 41 (b)(5) "The distinctness of one variety from another may be based on one or more identifiable morphological, physiological, or other characteristics (including any characteristics evidenced by processing or product characteristics in the case of wheat) with respect to which a difference in genealogy may contribute evidence". The isozyme profiles show a difference in protein expression, which is an identifiable physiological difference between the two varieties.

Please add this statement to the exhibit B Novelty statement: Variety PH5WA differs from PH3RC for the marker isozyme at locus *phi1* (*phosphohexose dehydrogenase1*). Standardized isozyme analysis revealed that PH5WA is homozygous for allele *phi1-4* while PH3RC is homozygous for allele *phi1-5* (Table 1).

Table 1. Isozyme profile for PH5WA vs PH3RC for locus phi1.

	BREEDE	3_CODE	PHI1
. :	PH5WA		4
	PH3RC		5



Exhibit B: Novelty Statement Tables

PH5WA and PH3RC. Each year varieties were grown in 3 locations that had different environmental conditions. Environments had different planting dates Table 1A: Data from Ankeny, Johnston and Dallas Center, IA broken out by year and across environments are supporting evidence for differences between and were in different fields. A two-sample t-test was used to compare differences between means.

Prob_(2-	5000 5000		0.00	0.320	0100	0.0.0	0.230	0.204
naluo.)) '	5	<u>.</u>		0.0	C. C.	9 6
DE PooledValue E	28	000	02	0 00) «	200	28	28
tdError- 2 DF P	165	0.434	0.175	0.133	922.0	0.587	0.736	0.827
dError-S	0 165	0.102	0.098	0.175	1 412	0 685	1.116	0.689
StdDeviation- StdDeviation- StdError- 1 2 1 2	0.640	0.507	0.676	0.516	3.005	2.274	2.850	3.204
dDeviation-St 1	0.640	0.743	0.378	0.676	5.467	2.651	4.323	2.669
St Mean_Diff	0.7	60	0.2	0.3	4.0	2.0	0.5	14
9an-	4 9	4 6	8.4	4	13.2	15.2	16.1	14.5
/lean-li	5.5	5,5	5.0	4.8	17.2	15 17.2	16.6	15 15.9
<u> </u>	15	15	15	15	,	75	5	
2 County 2 County 2 County 2 County 3 County 3 County 3 County 3 County 3 County 4 County 5 County 5 County 6 County 7 County 7 County 8 C	72	72	5	5	75	15	15	15
VARIETT-VARIETT-Count-Count-Mean-M	PH3RC	PH3RC	PH3RC	PH3RC	PH3RC	PH3RC	PH3RC	PH3RC
VAKIETY YEAR 1	2000PH5WA	2001 PH5WA	2002PH5WA	2003PH5WA PH3RC	2000PH5WA PH3RC	2001 PH5WA PH3RC	2002PH5WA PH3RC	2003PH5WA PH3RC
DataField	kernel thickness (mm)	kernel thickness (mm)	kernel thickness (mm)	kernel thickness (mm)	tassel axis floret density (# floret pairs/4 cm)			

Exhibit B. Novelty Statement Tables

between PH5WA and PH3RC. Environments had different planting dates and were in different fields. A two-sample t-test was used to compare Table 1B: Summary data from Ankeny, Johnston, and Dallas Center, IA across years and environments are supporting evidence for differences differences between means.

(2- 00led	0000		0.002
Prob (ail) P			
Fooled	4		3.1
IValue	~		~
Poplec	118		118
7 7	0.077		0.385
FIStdEi 2			
StdErro	0.088		0.503
(ation-	0.596		2.984
StdDe			
eviation 1	0.684		3.897
StdD	Ŋ		0
ean_Di	0		2.
Vean- Vean-	4		14.8
Mean-I	5.2		16.7
§'`	8	Notes to	90
Count 1	9	:	00
RIETY 2	13RC		PH3RC
ETY-W	PH5WA PH3RC		Α Α
VARI	РН5V		PH5WA
	(mm)	density	
)ataFiel	ernel thickness (mm)	assel axis floret densit	et pairs/4 o
	kernel th	tassel ax	(# floret pairs/4 cm)

CLARIFICATION OF DATA IN EXHIBITS B AND C 200 400 209

Please note the data presented in Exhibit B and C, "Objective Description of Variety," were collected primarily at Ankeny and Johnston, IA in 2000, and Dallas Center and Johnston, IA in 2001, 2002, and 2003. The data in Tables 1A and 1B are from two sample t-tests using data collected in Ankeny, Johnston, and Dallas Center, IA. These traits in exhibit B collectively show distinct differences between the two varieties.

United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Marketing Service Science and Technology, Plant Variety Protection Office National Agricultural Library Building, Room 400 Beltsville, MD 20705-2351

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY CORN (Zea mays L.)

Name of Applicant(s Pioneer Hi-Bred In		l Variety Seed Source			Variety Name or Temporary Designation PH5WA			
Address (Street & N	o., or R.F.D. No., City, State,	Zip Code and Country	ı	FOR OFFICIA	L USE	1	PVPO Nu	mber
7301 NW 62nd Ave	nue, P.O. Box 85, Johnston,	•				20	0400	209
adding leading zero	te number that describes the vesting it necessary. Completeness ry for an adequate variety des	should be striven for to	establish a					
COLOR CHOICES	Use in conjunction with Munse	ell color code to describe	all color o	hoices; describe	#25 and #26 ir	Comm	ents section	
01. Light Green	06. Pale Yellow	11. Pink		le Purple	21. Buff		26. Other (I	
02. Medium Green	07. Yellow	12. Light Red	17. Pu	•	22. Tan			,
03. Dark Green	08. Yellow-Orange	13. Cherry Red		lorless	23. Brown			
04. Very Dark Gree	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	14. Red	19. Wh		24. Bronze			
05. Green-Yellow	10. Pink-Orange	15. Red & White		nite Capped	25. Variegat	ed (Des	cribe)	
STANDARD INBRE Yellow Dent Familion Family B14 B37 B73 C103	D CHOICES [Use the most sines: Members CM105, A632, B64, B68 B37, B76, H84 N192, A679, B73, Nc268 Mo17, Va102, Va35, A682	nilar (in background and Yellow Dent (Unrelated Co109, ND246 Oh7, T232 W117, W153R W182BN		of these to make	Sweet Corn: C13, I Popcorn:	owa512	grow-out tri 5, P39, 213, 722, HP301,	2
Oh43	A619, MS71, H99, Va26	White Dent:			Pipecorn:			
WF9	W64A, A554, A654, Pa91	Cl66, H105, Ky	228		•	N, Mo16	6W, Mo24W	
	intermediate types in "Comme et, 2=Dent, 3=Flint, 4=Flour, 5	•	:Pipecorn)		I Standard I 2 Ty		Name	B73
2. REGION WHERI	E DEVELOPED IN THE U.S.A	•			I Standard	Seed S	OUICE	PI 550473
	est, 2=N.Central, 3=N.East, 4=		S.West, 7:	=Other	I _Region		ou. oo	.,,,,,,,,
3. MATURITY (In R	egion Best Adaptability; show	Heat Unit formula in "Co	mments":	section):	1			
DAYS	HEAT UNITS				I D	AYS	HEAT	JNITS
<u>66</u>	1.456.8 From emergence	to 50% of plants in silk			Į.	<u>65</u>	1	.429.3
<u>66</u>	1.441.3 From emergence	to 50% of plants in polle	en		1	<u>64</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>,408.3</u>
<u>2</u>	51 From 10% to 90%	6 pollen shed			1	<u>2</u>		<u>52</u>
	From 50% silk to	optimum edible quality			1			
	From 50% silk to	harvest at 25% moisture)		1			,_
4. PLANT:		S	t.Dev.	Sample Size	I Me	ean	St.Dev.	Sample Size
241.6 cm Plan	t Height (to tassel tip)		13.23	<u>30</u>	I <u>23</u>	<u>6.2</u>	<u>12.91</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>81.6</u> cm Ear	Height (to base of top ear nod	e)	<u>15.18</u>	<u>30</u>	l <u>9</u>	<u>7.3</u>	<u>10.73</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>14.7</u> cm Leng	gth of Top Ear Internode		<u>1.58</u>	<u>30</u>	l <u>1</u>	<u>5.6</u>	<u>1.40</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>0.1</u> Average	Number of Tillers		0.06	<u>6</u>	I	0.0	0.02	<u>6</u>
1.0 Average	Number of Ears per Stalk		0.05	<u>6</u>	1	<u>1.0</u>	0.07	<u>6</u>
3 Anthocy	ranin of Brace Roots: 1=Abser	it, 2=Faint, 3=Moderate,	4=Dark		1	<u>3</u>		

Standard	Inhrad	Data
Sianuaiu	HIDICU	Dala

Application Variety Data	Page 2	!	Standard Inbred		2009 0
5. LEAF	St.Dev.	Sample Size	Mean	St.Dev.	Sample Size
9.8 cm Width of Ear Node Leaf	<u>0.81</u>	<u>30</u> I	9.1	0.68	. 30
77.6 cm Length of Ear Node Leaf	2.71	<u>30</u> I	83.1	4.54	30
6.9 Number of leaves above top ear	0.83	30 I	6.6	0.82	30
21.3 Degrees Leaf Angle	7.45	<u>30</u> I	<u>18.1</u>	<u>5.52</u>	30
(Measure from 2nd leaf above ear at anthesis to sta		1 22 .	10.1	<u>0.02</u>	<u>55</u>
4 Leaf Color (Munsell Code) 7.5GY 3/4		·	4 (Muncell	l Code) 7.50	SV 3/4
2 Leaf Sheath Pubescence (Rate on scale from 1=no	one to 9=like neach t	· (177)	<u>2</u>	10000) <u>1.50</u>	51 0/4
Marginal Waves (Rate on scale from 1=none to 9=		1	<u> </u>		
Longitudinal Creases (Rate on scale from 1=none to	• •	1	******		
6. TASSEL:	St.Dev.	Sample Size	Mean	St.Dev.	Sample Size
3.8 Number of Primary Lateral Branches	1.16	30 I	7.9	1.75	30
28.6 Degrees Branch Angle from Central Spike	11.15	30 I		7.07	
63.0 cm tassel Length	2.88		<u>15.6</u>		<u>30</u>
(from top leaf collar to tassel tip)	2.00	<u>30</u> I	<u>54.7</u>	<u>4.99</u>	<u>30</u>
5 Pollen Shed (Rate on scale from 0=male sterile to	Q=hogse shod)	1	6		
	ə-neavy sneu)	!	<u>6</u>	LO-2-1 5143	2.514
5 Anther Color (Munsell Code) 5Y 8/8		· I		Code) <u>5Y 8</u>	
17 Glume Color (Munsell Code) 10RP 2/6		[-	Code) <u>5GY</u>	<u>′ 5/6</u>
1 Bar Glumes (Glume Bands): 1=Absent, 2=Present		l	<u>1</u>		
7a. EAR (Unhusked Data):	a) 7.5	D 7/6	d Mosesell	0-4- 050	27.04
11 Silk Color (3 days after emergence) (Munsell Code	_	R 7/6	1 Munsell		SY 9/4
2 Fresh Husk Color (25 days after 50% silking) (Mur		<u>Y 6/6</u>	2 Munsell		
19 Dry Husk Color (65 days after 50% silking) (Munse	•	<u>YR 9/2</u> I	21 Munsell	Code <u>2.5Y</u>	<u>′ 8.5/4</u>
2 Position of Ear at Dry Husk Stage: 1=Upright, 2=H		t I	<u>3</u>		
6 Husk Tightness (Rate on scale from 1=very loose			<u>6</u>		
2 Husk Extension (at harvest): 1=Short(ears expose	d), 2=Medium (<8cm	i), 3=Long (8- [<u>3</u>		
10cm beyond ear tip), 4=Very Long (>10cm)		· .	•		
7b. EAR (Husked Ear Data)	St. Dev.	Sample Size I	Mean	St.Dev.	Sample Size
<u>16.6</u> cm Ear Length	<u>2.41</u>	<u>30</u> [<u>13.2</u>	<u>1.29</u>	30
		·			20
43.2 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point	2.82	<u>30</u> I	43.9	<u>2.58</u>	
				<u>2.58</u> <u>29.25</u>	<u>30</u>
43.2 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point	2.82	<u>30</u> l	<u>43.9</u>		<u>30</u> 30
43.2 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 132.5 gm Ear Weight	2.82 42.70	<u>30</u> l <u>30</u> l	43.9 102.7 18.1	<u>29.25</u>	<u>30</u> 30
43.2 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 132.5 gm Ear Weight 15.4 Number of Kernel Rows	2.82 42.70 1.30	<u>30</u> l <u>30</u> l	43.9 102.7 18.1 2	<u>29.25</u>	<u>30</u> 30
43.2 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 132.5 gm Ear Weight 15.4 Number of Kernel Rows 2 Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct, 2=Distinct	2.82 42.70 1.30 Spiral	30 30 30 I	43.9 102.7 18.1 2 2	<u>29.25</u> <u>1.66</u>	30 30 30
 43.2 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 132.5 gm Ear Weight 15.4 Number of Kernel Rows 2 Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct, 2=Distinct 1 Row Alignment: 1=Straight, 2=Slightly Curved, 3=8 	2.82 42.70 1.30 Spiral	<u>30</u> l <u>30</u> l	43.9 102.7 18.1 2	<u>29.25</u>	30 30 30
43.2 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 132.5 gm Ear Weight 15.4 Number of Kernel Rows 2 Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct, 2=Distinct 1 Row Alignment: 1=Straight, 2=Slightly Curved, 3=5 8.0 cm Shank Length 2 Ear Taper: 1=Slight cyl., 2=Average slightly con., 3	2.82 42.70 1.30 Spiral	30 30 30 I	43.9 102.7 18.1 2 2 7.4	<u>29.25</u> <u>1.66</u>	30 30 30 30
43.2 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 132.5 gm Ear Weight 15.4 Number of Kernel Rows 2 Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct, 2=Distinct 1 Row Alignment: 1=Straight, 2=Slightly Curved, 3=5 8.0 cm Shank Length 2 Ear Taper: 1=Slight cyl., 2=Average slightly con., 3	2.82 42.70 1.30 Spiral 1.66 B=Extreme conical	30 30 30 	43.9 102.7 18.1 2 2 7.4 1	29.25 1.66 2.43	30 30 30 30 Sample Size
43.2 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 132.5 gm Ear Weight 15.4 Number of Kernel Rows 2 Kernel Rows: 1≃Indistinct, 2=Distinct 1 Row Alignment: 1=Straight, 2=Slightly Curved, 3=5 8.0 cm Shank Length 2 Ear Taper: 1=Slight cyl., 2=Average slightly con., 3 8 KERNEL (Dried):	2.82 42.70 1.30 Spiral 1.66 B=Extreme conical St.Dev.	30 30 30 30 1 30 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	43.9 102.7 18.1 2 2 7.4 1	29.25 1.66 2.43 St.Dev.	30 30 30 30 Sample Size
43.2 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 132.5 gm Ear Weight 15.4 Number of Kernel Rows 2 Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct, 2=Distinct 1 Row Alignment: 1=Straight, 2=Slightly Curved, 3=5 8.0 cm Shank Length 2 Ear Taper: 1=Slight cyl., 2=Average slightly con., 3 8 KERNEL (Dried): 11.3 mm Kernel Length	2.82 42.70 1.30 Spiral 1.66 S=Extreme conical St.Dev. 1.06	30 30 30 30 1 30 1 30 1 30 1 30 1 30 1 30 1 30 1	43.9 102.7 18.1 2 2 7.4 1 Mean 10.4	29.25 1.66 2.43 St.Dev. 1.04	30 30 30 30 30 Sample Size 30
43.2 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 132.5 gm Ear Weight 15.4 Number of Kernel Rows 2 Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct, 2=Distinct 1 Row Alignment: 1=Straight, 2=Slightly Curved, 3=5 8.0 cm Shank Length 2 Ear Taper: 1=Slight cyl., 2=Average slightly con., 3 KERNEL (Dried): 11.3 mm Kernel Length 7.8 mm Kernel Width	2.82 42.70 1.30 Spiral 1.66 8=Extreme conical St.Dev. 1.06 0.48	30 30 30 30 1 30 1 30 1 30 1 30 1 30 1 30 1	43.9 102.7 18.1 2 2 7.4 1 Mean 10.4 6.6	29.25 1.66 2.43 St.Dev. 1.04 0.61 0.57	30 30 30 30 Sample Size 30 30 30
43.2 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 132.5 gm Ear Weight 15.4 Number of Kernel Rows 2 Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct, 2=Distinct 1 Row Alignment: 1=Straight, 2=Slightly Curved, 3=5 8.0 cm Shank Length 2 Ear Taper: 1=Slight cyl., 2=Average slightly con., 3 8. KERNEL (Dried): 11.3 mm Kernel Length 7.8 mm Kernel Width 5.2 mm Kernel Thickness 52.7 % Round Kernels (Shape Grade)	2.82 42.70 1.30 Spiral 1.66 8=Extreme conical St.Dev. 1.06 0.48 0.82 20.16	30 30 30 30 1 30 1 30 1 30 1 30 1 30 1 30 1 30 1	43.9 102.7 18.1 2 2 7.4 1 Mean 10.4 6.6 3.9 16.7	29.25 1.66 2.43 St.Dev. 1.04 0.61 0.57 16.20	30 30 30 30 Sample Size 30 30
43.2 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 132.5 gm Ear Weight 15.4 Number of Kernel Rows 2 Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct, 2=Distinct 1 Row Alignment: 1=Straight, 2=Slightly Curved, 3=5 8.0 cm Shank Length 2 Ear Taper: 1=Slight cyl., 2=Average slightly con., 3 8 KERNEL (Dried): 11.3 mm Kernel Length 7.8 mm Kernel Width 5.2 mm Kernel Thickness 52.7 % Round Kernels (Shape Grade) 1 Aleurone Color Pattern: 1=Homozygous, 2=Segreg	2.82 42.70 1.30 Spiral 1.66 SEExtreme conical St.Dev. 1.06 0.48 0.82 20.16 gating (describe)	30 30 30 30 1 30 1 30 1 30 1 30 1 30 1 30 1 30 1	43.9 102.7 18.1 2 2 7.4 1 1 Mean 10.4 6.6 3.9 16.7 1 (describ	29.25 1.66 2.43 St.Dev. 1.04 0.61 0.57 16.20	30 30 30 30 Sample Size 30 30 4
43.2 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 132.5 gm Ear Weight 15.4 Number of Kernel Rows 2 Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct, 2=Distinct 1 Row Alignment: 1=Straight, 2=Slightly Curved, 3=5 8.0 cm Shank Length 2 Ear Taper: 1=Slight cyl., 2=Average slightly con., 3 8 KERNEL (Dried): 11.3 mm Kernel Length 7.8 mm Kernel Width 5.2 mm Kernel Width 5.2 mm Kernel Thickness 52.7 % Round Kernels (Shape Grade) 1 Aleurone Color Pattern: 1=Homozygous, 2=Segregon Aleurone Color (Munsell Code) 1.	2.82 42.70 1.30 Spiral 1.66 3=Extreme conical St.Dev. 1.06 0.48 0.82 20.16 gating (describe) 25Y 7/14	30 30 30 30 1 30 1 30 1 30 1 30 1 30 1 30 1 30 1	43.9 102.7 18.1 2 2 7.4 1 1 Mean 10.4 6.6 3.9 16.7 1 (describ 7 Munsell	29.25 1.66 2.43 St.Dev. 1.04 0.61 0.57 16.20 e)	30 30 30 30 Sample Size 30 30 30 4 5Y 8/12
43.2 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 132.5 gm Ear Weight 15.4 Number of Kernel Rows 2 Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct, 2=Distinct 1 Row Alignment: 1=Straight, 2=Slightly Curved, 3=5 8.0 cm Shank Length 2 Ear Taper: 1=Slight cyl., 2=Average slightly con., 3 8 KERNEL (Dried): 11.3 mm Kernel Length 7.8 mm Kernel Width 5.2 mm Kernel Thickness 52.7 % Round Kernels (Shape Grade) 1 Aleurone Color Pattern: 1=Homozygous, 2=Segreg 7 Aleurone Color (Munsell Code) 1 Hard Endosperm Color (Munsell Code)	2.82 42.70 1.30 Spiral 1.66 3=Extreme conical St.Dev. 1.06 0.48 0.82 20.16 gating (describe) 25Y 7/14 0YR 6/12	30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	43.9 102.7 18.1 2 2 7.4 1 1 Mean 10.4 6.6 3.9 16.7 1 (describ 7 Munsell 7 Munsell	29.25 1.66 2.43 St.Dev. 1.04 0.61 0.57 16.20 e) Code 2. Code 10	30 30 30 30 30 Sample Size 30 30 4
43.2 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 132.5 gm Ear Weight 15.4 Number of Kernel Rows 2 Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct, 2=Distinct 1 Row Alignment: 1=Straight, 2=Slightly Curved, 3=8 8.0 cm Shank Length 2 Ear Taper: 1=Slight cyl., 2=Average slightly con., 3 8. KERNEL (Dried): 11.3 mm Kernel Length 7.8 mm Kernel Width 5.2 mm Kernel Width 5.2 mm Kernel Thickness 52.7 % Round Kernels (Shape Grade) 1 Aleurone Color Pattern: 1=Homozygous, 2=Segreg 7 Aleurone Color (Munsell Code) 1 Hard Endosperm Color (Munsell Code) 2 Endosperm Type: 1=Sweet(su1), 2=Extra Sweet(si Amylose Starch, 5=Waxy Starch, 6=High Protein, 7=	2.82 42.70 1.30 Spiral 1.66 3=Extreme conical St.Dev. 1.06 0.48 0.82 20.16 gating (describe) 25Y 7/14 OYR 6/12 h2), 3=Normal Starc	30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	43.9 102.7 18.1 2 2 7.4 1 1 Mean 10.4 6.6 3.9 16.7 1 (describ 7 Munsell	29.25 1.66 2.43 St.Dev. 1.04 0.61 0.57 16.20 e) Code 2. Code 10	30 30 30 30 Sample Size 30 30 4 57 8/12
43.2 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 132.5 gm Ear Weight 15.4 Number of Kernel Rows 2 Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct, 2=Distinct 1 Row Alignment: 1=Straight, 2=Slightly Curved, 3=5 8.0 cm Shank Length 2 Ear Taper: 1=Slight cyl., 2=Average slightly con., 3 8. KERNEL (Dried): 11.3 mm Kernel Length 7.8 mm Kernel Width 5.2 mm Kernel Width 5.2 mm Kernel Thickness 52.7 % Round Kernels (Shape Grade) 1 Aleurone Color Pattern: 1=Homozygous, 2=Segreg 7 Aleurone Color (Munsell Code) 1 Hard Endosperm Color (Munsell Code) 2 Endosperm Type: 1=Sweet(su1), 2=Extra Sweet(su1)	2.82 42.70 1.30 Spiral 1.66 St.Dev. 1.06 0.48 0.82 20.16 gating (describe) 25Y 7/14 DYR 6/12 h2), 3=Normal Starce =High Lysine, 8=Sup	30 30 30 1 30 1 1 1 30 1 30 1 30 1 30 1 30 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	43.9 102.7 18.1 2 2 7.4 1 1 Mean 10.4 6.6 3.9 16.7 1 (describ 7 Munsell 7 Munsell 3 (describ	29.25 1.66 2.43 St.Dev. 1.04 0.61 0.57 16.20 e) Code 2. Code 10 e)	30 30 30 30 Sample Size 30 30 30 4 5Y 8/12 DYR 7/12
43.2 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 132.5 gm Ear Weight 15.4 Number of Kernel Rows 2 Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct, 2=Distinct 1 Row Alignment: 1=Straight, 2=Slightly Curved, 3=5 8.0 cm Shank Length 2 Ear Taper: 1=Slight cyl., 2=Average slightly con., 3 8. KERNEL (Dried): 11.3 mm Kernel Length 7.8 mm Kernel Width 5.2 mm Kernel Width 5.2 mm Kernel Thickness 52.7 % Round Kernels (Shape Grade) 1 Aleurone Color Pattern: 1=Homozygous, 2=Segreg 7 Aleurone Color (Munsell Code) 1 Hard Endosperm Color (Munsell Code) 2 Endosperm Type: 1=Sweet(su1), 2=Extra Sweet(su2), 2=Extra Sweet(su2), 9=High Oil, 10=Other 30.2 gm Weight per 100 kernels (unsized sample)	2.82 42.70 1.30 Spiral 1.66 8=Extreme conical St.Dev. 1.06 0.48 0.82 20.16 gating (describe) 25Y 7/14 DYR 6/12 h2), 3=Normal Starc =High Lysine, 8=Sup	30 30 30 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	43.9 102.7 18.1 2 2 7.4 1 1 Mean 10.4 6.6 3.9 16.7 1 (describ 7 Munsell 7 Munsell 3 (describ	29.25 1.66 2.43 St.Dev. 1.04 0.61 0.57 16.20 e) Code 10 e)	30 30 30 30 30 Sample Size 30 30 30 4 57 8/12 0YR 7/12
43.2 mm Ear Diameter at mid-point 132.5 gm Ear Weight 15.4 Number of Kernel Rows 2 Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct, 2=Distinct 1 Row Alignment: 1=Straight, 2=Slightly Curved, 3=5 8.0 cm Shank Length 2 Ear Taper: 1=Slight cyl., 2=Average slightly con., 3 8. KERNEL (Dried): 11.3 mm Kernel Length 7.8 mm Kernel Width 5.2 mm Kernel Width 5.2 mm Kernel Thickness 52.7 % Round Kernels (Shape Grade) 1 Aleurone Color Pattern: 1=Homozygous, 2=Segreg 7 Aleurone Color (Munsell Code) 1 Hard Endosperm Color (Munsell Code) 3 Endosperm Type: 1=Sweet(su1), 2=Extra Sweet(si2), 9=High Oil, 10=Other	2.82 42.70 1.30 Spiral 1.66 St.Dev. 1.06 0.48 0.82 20.16 gating (describe) 25Y 7/14 DYR 6/12 h2), 3=Normal Starce =High Lysine, 8=Sup	30 30 30 1 30 1 1 1 30 1 30 1 30 1 30 1 30 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	43.9 102.7 18.1 2 2 7.4 1 1 Mean 10.4 6.6 3.9 16.7 1 (describ 7 Munsell 7 Munsell 3 (describ	29.25 1.66 2.43 St.Dev. 1.04 0.61 0.57 16.20 e) Code 2. Code 10 e)	30 30 30 30 Sample Size 30 30 30 4 57 8/12

Aspergillus Ear and Kernel Rot (Aspergillus flavus)

3 Fusarim Ear and Kernel Rot (Fusarium moniliforme)

5 Diplodia Ear Rot (Stenocarpella maydis)

7 Gibberella Ear Rot (Gibberella zeae)

Other (Specify)

Aspergillus Ear & Kernel Rot

3 Fusarium Ear & Kernel Rot

4 Diplodia Ear Rot

6 Gibberella Ear Rot

Other (Specify)

Standard Inbred Data

11 INSECT DESISTANCE (Data from 1/mark augustifuls) to 0 (-		<u> </u>	
if not tested	Rate from 1(most susceptible) to 9 (m	ost resistant St. Dev.	•	Ct Day Campula Cim
	Oligonychus pratensis)	St. Dev.	Sample Size	St. Dev. Sample Size
Corn Earworm (Helicover				I Banks Grass Mite I Corn Earworm
_ Leaf Feeding	pa 200)			I _ Leaf Feeding
Silk Feeding	. mg larval wt.			· _ Lear recuing
Ear Damage				l Ear Damage
	hopalosiphum maidis)			I _ Corn Leaf Aphid
	arpophilus dimidiatus)			Corn Sap Beetle
European Corn Borer (Os				1 European Corn Borer
•	pically Whorl Leaf Feeding)			I 3 1 st Generation
	pically Leaf Sheath-Collar Feeding)			l 2 nd Generation
	cm tunneled/plant			
Fall Armyworm (Spodopte				l Fall Armyworm
_ Leaf-Feeding	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			I Leaf-Feeding
Silk-Feeding	mg larval wt.			
_ Maize Weevil (Sitop				I Maize Weevil
	,			_ 1414126 4466411
_ Northern Rootworm	(Diabrotica barberi)			I _ Northern Rootworm
	(Diabrotica undecimpunctata)			Southern Rootworm
Southwestern Corn Borer				Southwestern Corn Borer
_ Leaf Feeding	(Sistaba granaioscila)			I Leaf Feeding
	cm tunneled/plant			i Lear recuiring
	Mite (Tetranychus urticae)		-	I Two-spotted Spider Mite
	(Diabrotica virgifera virgifera)			Western Rootworm
_ Other (Specify)_	(Classical Inglicity Inglicity)			Other (Specify)
		*****	-	Callot (Openity)
12. AGRONOMIC TRAITS:				1
5 Stay Green (at 65 da	ays after anthesis) (Rate on scale fror	n 1=worst to	9=excellent)	I 2 Stay Green
% Dropped Ears (at 6	5 days after anthesis)			I % Dropped ears
_ % Pre-anthesis Brittl	e Snapping			Pre-anthesis Brittle Snapping
% Pre-anthesis Root I				I % Pre-anthesis Root Lodging
10 % Post-anthesis Roo	ot Lodging (at 65 days after anthesis)			l 10 Post-anthesis Root Lodging
<u>5,977.0</u> Kg/ha Yield o	of Inbred Per Se (at 12-13% grain moi	sture)		I <u>5,401.0</u> Yield
13. MOLECULAR MARKERS	: (0=data unavailable; 1=data availab	le but not su	pplied; 2=data suppli	ied.)
L Isozymes	_ RFLP's	_ RAPD's		Other (Specify)
<u>r</u> 1882jiii.88	2. 0	_ 10-10-0		_ Other (openity)
REFERENCES:		VII		
Butler, D.R. 1954. A System for	or the Classification of Corn Inbred Li	nes. PhD Th	esis. Ohio University	1.
	and A.C. Fraser, 1935. A summary of		•	
				ates. The American Phytopathological
Society, St. Paul, MN.				atoo. The functional is hytopathological
-				
	Culture, Processing, Products, Avi Pu			
	n: Improvement, Seed Production, an		n Wiley & Sons, Nev	v York,
	eases. APS Press, St. Paul, MN. 150	• •		
	Fissues. Macbeth. P.O. Box 230. Nev		12551-0230	
	Prop Science Society of America. Mad			
·	dium of Corn Diseases. APS Press, S	•	• •	
	ey (Editors). 1988. Corn and Corn Imp	orovement, 1	hird Edition. Agrono	my Monograph 18. ASA, CSSA, SSSA,
Madison, WI.				
	Lines of Ohio A.E.S., Bul. 831, 1959.	•		
U.S. Department of Agriculture	: 1936, 1937. Yearbook.		•	

COMMENTS (e. g. state how heat units were calculated, standard inbred seed source, and/or where data was collected. Continue in Exhibit D) Insect, disease, brittle snapping and root lodging data are collected mainly from environment where variability for the trait can be obtained within the experiment.

Our experimental design was set up in a typical complete block design commonly used in agricultural corn research experiments using three locations/environments. One replication was grown at each location. This is one more environment than is required according to the PVP application instructions. Our approach was to test the variety in more than 1 location (as instructed) while also allowing us the extra location/environment if there should be an unexpected failure at a location due to weather or other problems. There may also be situations where an additional year of testing was conducted resulting in 2 years of trial data. There would likely be more variability due to soil type differences, nutrients, or weather typical of different testing environments than if all three trials were grown in the same field on the same farm with the same planting dates in the same year. If you recommend that all locations/environments are grown in the same field with the same planting dates and same year, please let us know and we will adjust our 2007 procedures.

The experimental design and methods for 2003 were as follows:

Please update the exhibit C addendum with this paragraph:

The experiment procedures involved three environments with different planting dates, planted in 17.42 ft. rows with 2 rows for each variety. Approximately 24-30 plants emerged in each of 2 rows for a total of around 48 to 60 plants being evaluated at each location and 144 to 180 plants across locations. For plant level traits, we sampled 5 representative plants from the 2 rows of the 2 row plot (group) of plants at each location. For plot level traits we evaluated the 2 row plot (group) and gave a representative score or average on the 48-60 plants in the group within an experiment.

Some traits can be especially variable under different environmental factors influenced by weather, soil type, or planting dates. Varying temperatures or day length could impact the meristem growth during various tissue differentiation stages. The meristem differentiation of the ear and other tissues could be impacted as well as the success of pollination during flowering and frequency of kernel abortion during grain fill. Such variation could impact some of the traits that you mention because our experiment design does not grow all of the trials in the same field with the same planting date.

I would be happy to share detailed protocols or discuss with you in more detail the sampling, experiment design, reporting, and the conscientious evaluations that went into the characterization of the data..

Exhibit D. Search Results.

Based on the published PVP for variety '2369' Cargill Inc., there may be isozyme profile differences between PH5WA and '2369'. Variety PH5WA isozyme profile is homozygous at locus *acp1-2* (acid phosphatase1) whereas '2369' is listed as *acp-3* (*acid phophatase*).

Based on the published PVP's, the aleurone color for PH5WA is yellow (Munsell Code 1.25Y 7/14). That differs from what was reported in PVP publication with '2369' aleurone color being white, 'ICI 193' aleurone color is bronze, and 'LH204' aleurone color is reported as white.

REPRODUCE LOCALLY. Include form number and edition date on all reproductions U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE EXHIBIT E STATEMENT OF THE BASIS OF OWNERSHIP	Application is required in order to determine certificate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421). confidential until the certificate is issued (7	e if a plant variety protection The information is held U.S.C. 2426).
1 NAME OF APPLICANT(S) PIONEER HI-BRED INTERNATIONAL, INC.	2.TEMPORARY DESIGNATION OR EXPERIMENTAL NUMBER	3. VARIETY NAME PH5WA
4 .ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No., City, State, and ZIP, and Country)	5.TELEPHONE (include area code)	6. FAX (include area code)
7301 NW 62 nd AVENUE	515-270-4051	515-253-2125
P.O.BOX 85 JOHNSTON, IA 50131-0085	7. PVPO NUMBER 200 4 0 (209
8. Does the applicant own all rights to the variety? Mark an "X" in the appropriate	e block. If no, please explain: ⊠ YES	□ NO
9.Is the applicant (individual or company) a U.S. national or a U.S. based compa	any? If no, give name of country 🛛 YES	S □ NO
a. If the original rights to variety were owned by individual(s), is (are) the original rights to variety were owned by individual(s), is (are) the original rights to variety were owned by a company(ies), is (are) to YES □ NO If no, give name of country ■ YES □ NO If no, give name of country	original owner(s) a U.S. National(s)?	
11. Additional explanation on ownership (Trace ownership from original breeder t	to current owner. Use the reverse for extra sp	pace if needed):
Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. (PHI), Des Moines, Iowa, and/or its whole the employer of the plant breeders involved in the selection and developme Corporation has the sole rights and ownership of PH5WA pursuant to writte such variety was created. No rights to this variety are retained by any indiv	ent of PH5WA. Pioneer Hi-Bred International en contracts that assign all rights in the variety	and/or Pioneer Overseas
PLEASE NOTE:		
Plant variety protection can only be afforded to the owners (not licensees) who meet the	following criteria:	
 If the rights to the variety are owned by the original breeder, that person must be a which affords similar protection to nationals of the U.S. for the same genus and sp 		ntry, or national of a country
2. If the rights to the variety are owned by the company which employed the original country, or owned by nationals of a country which affords similar protection to nat	breeder(s), the company must be U.S. based, ow tionals of the U.S. for the same genus and species	yned by nationals of a UPOV member s.
3. If the applicant is an owner who is not the original owner, both the original owner	and the applicant must meet one of the above cri	teria.

The original breeder/owner may be the individual or company who directed the final breeding. See Section 41(a)(2) of the Plant Variety Protection Act for definitions.

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0581-0055. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 0.1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing the instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, disability, sexual orientation, marital or family status, political beliefs, parental status, or protected genetic information. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at 202-720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W. Whitten Building, 14th and Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (202) 720-5964 (voice and TDD). USDA is an equal employment opportunity provide and employer.